

## Current Positions of Presidential Candidates on Climate Policies (April 20, 2007)\*

CANDIDATES	CARBON CAP AND TARGETS	FUEL EFFICIENCY	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY STANDARD	EFFICIENCY TARGETS	NEW COAL PLANTS AND LIQUID COAL
Joe Biden	In 2005, supported the McCain-Lieberman bill: 2000 levels by 2010	Opposed 40 mpg in 2005 and 2003; supported 35 mpg in 2002	Supported 10% standard in 2005; opposed 20% standard in 2002	General support for efficiency, no target specified	No articulated position
Sam Brownback	Opposed the McCain-Lieberman bill in 2005; no articulated position on a carbon cap	Opposed 40 mpg in 2005 and 2003	Supported 10% standard in 2005; opposed 20% standard in 2002	General support for efficiency, no target specified	No articulated position
Hillary Clinton	Supports the McCain-Lieberman bill: 65% by 2050	Opposed 40 mpg in 2005; supported 40 mpg in 2003	Supports 20% standard by 2020	Set zero emissions target for federal buildings by 2030	No articulated position
Chris Dodd	Supports Sanders-Boxer bill: 80% reductions by 2050	Supports 50 mpg	Supports 20% standard by 2020	Supports 15% decrease in America's electricity consumption by 2018	New coal plants must capture and store carbon emissions
John Edwards	Supports 80% reductions by 2050	Supports 40 mpg	Supports 25% standard by 2025	Supports 15% decrease in America's electricity consumption by 2018	Supports ban on new coal plants unless compatible with capture and sequestration
Jim Gilmore	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position
Newt Gingrich	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	General support for efficiency, no target specified	No articulated position
Rudy Giuliani	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	Supports liquid coal
Mike Gravel	Supports cap; no emissions reduction target specified	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position
Mike Huckabee	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position

\* This information was compiled from candidate websites, their votes in Congress, and recent public statements. It reflects the current positions of candidates. As candidates release their energy plans or new policies, we will update this document. A current version of this chart can be found at [www.heatison.org](http://www.heatison.org).

## Current Positions of Presidential Candidates on Climate Policies (April 20, 2007)\*

CANDIDATES	CARBON CAP AND TARGETS	FUEL EFFICIENCY	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY STANDARD	EFFICIENCY TARGETS	NEW COAL PLANTS AND LIQUID COAL
Duncan Hunter	No articulated position	Opposed 33 mpg in 2005	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position
Dennis Kucinich	Supports Waxman bill: 80% reductions by 2050	Supported 33 mpg in 2005	Supports 20% standard by 2010	General support for efficiency, no target specified	No articulated position
John McCain	Lead author of bill to reduce emissions 65% by 2050	Opposed 40 mpg in 2005; supported 35 mpg in 2002	Opposed 10% standard in 2005; opposed 20% standard in 2002	General support for efficiency, no target specified	No articulated position
Barack Obama	Supports the McCain-Lieberman bill: 65% by 2050	Supported 40 mpg standard in 2005	Supported 10% standard; no articulated position on 20% standard	General support for efficiency, no target specified	Supports liquid coal if it meets a low carbon fuel standard
Ron Paul	No articulated position	Opposed 33 mpg in 2005	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position
Bill Richardson	Supports 75% reductions by 2050	Supports 50 mpg	Supports 20% standard by 2020	General support for efficiency, no target specified	No articulated position
Mitt Romney	No articulated position	Opposes increasing fuel economy standards as stand alone measure	No articulated position	No articulated position	Supports liquid coal
Tom Tancredo	No articulated position	Opposed 33 mpg in 2005	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position
Fred Thompson	No articulated position	Opposed 35 mpg in 2002	In 2002, opposed 10% and 20% standard	No articulated position	No articulated position
Tommy Thompson	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position	No articulated position

\* This information was compiled from candidate websites, their votes in Congress, and recent public statements. It reflects the current positions of candidates. As candidates release their energy plans or new policies, we will update this document. A current version of this chart can be found at [www.heatison.org](http://www.heatison.org).